## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

### ATLANTA DIVISION



#### **JAMES BUSSEY**

Plaintiff.

Civil Action No: 1:25-CV-2624-VMC

V.

**DELTA COMMUNITY** 

CREDIT UNION,

Defendant,

# PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED MOTION TO DECLARE VEHICLE DEBT WAS VOID AND CORRECT THE DATE OF SERVICE AS WELL AS OTHER CORRECTIONS:

{Violations of TILA Rescission, Due Process, and unlawful Repossession}

### TO THE HONORABLE COURT:

COMES NOW, James Bussey plaintiff, moves this Court to declare the vehicle debt void under 15 U.S.C.

Subsection 1635 {f} {TRUTH IN LENDING ACT} and 12 cfr
1026.23{b} {Reguulation z} due to the defendant's failing to fully

disclose the so call contract and failure to honor rescission within 20 days of notice {06/05/2024}.

#### **Plaintiff states:**

- 1. Unlawful repossession without a court order {5<sup>th</sup>/14<sup>th</sup> Amendment Due Process violation}.
- 2. Repo company lack of state registration {constituting auto theft under GEORGIA law.

#### **LEGAL ARGUMENT**

- 1.**Debt is VOID Under Rescission** {15 U.S.C.SUBSECTION 1635 {F}
- -Plaintiff exercised right to rescind the loan contract on **06/05/2024** due to:
- -Failure to provide clear TILA disclosures {15 U.S.C. Subsection 1635 {A}
- -Defendant ignored recission notice {violating 12 cfr 1026.23 {b}.
- TILA mandates aurtomatic voiding of security intrest if creditor fails to respond within 20 days. {THE SUPREME COURT RULED THAT NO COURT HEARING IS NEEDED TO RESCIND A CONTRACT IN 2015} Plaintiff sent a rescission letter on 06/05/2024 with still no response from Delta Community Credit Union to date. {JULY 1<sup>st</sup> 2025}
- Remedy: Court must cancel debt {Belini v. Wash, Mut Bank, 412 F. 3d 17 {1st Cir, 2005}
- 2. Due Process Violation (5<sup>th</sup>/14<sup>th</sup> Amendment)

- -Defendant repossessed **plaintiff's vehicle without a court** order, violating:
- -Due Process Clause (Fuentes v. Shevin, 407 U.S. 67 (1972) Creditors cannot seize property without a hearing.
- -Admission by Defendant's attorneys in defendant's last motion DEFENDANT'S INITIAL DISCLOSURES proves violation.
- 3. Defendant's attorneys admitted they illegally repoed the plaintiff vehicle without a court hearing or court order, which is not only theft but it also violates plaintiff's constitutional rights as well.
- 4. Repo Company Lack Registration = Auto Theft
- -Plaintiff check **SECRETARY OF STATE** website and found no registration for **PAR NORTH AMERICA**.
- -CASE LAW: Mbank El Paso v. Sanchez {836 S.W. 2D 151 {TEX,1992}- UNLICENSED REPO = CONVERSON {THEFT}.

## **PRAYERS FOR RELIEF**

1. Declare the vehicle debt VOID under TILA rescission

Respectfully Submitted,

James Bussey

455 Liberty Trace

Roswell Ga, 30076

404-993-3925

Jamesbussey72@yahoo.com

June 27th 2025

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of this motion was served on Defendant's counsel thru certified mail on June 27th 2025

James Bussey
James Bussey